

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

a

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/517,844	12/15/2004	Amnon Sintov	030231-0155	9004	
22428 FOLEY AND	7590 08/03/2007 LARDNER LLP	· .	EXAMINER		
SUITE 500			AHMED, HASAN SYED		
3000 K STREI WASHINGTO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	- ,		1615		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		·	08/03/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/517,844	SINTOV ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Hasan S. Ahmed	1615	

	Hasan S. Anmed	1015						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 23 July 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, affice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)					
 a)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).							
extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee lave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as et forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, hay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con								
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE:	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•	` ,					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	will not be entered, or b) □ wi vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed:	•							
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		•						
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good anwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	bt be entered s necessary and					
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attacl	ned.					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce hecause:					
See Continuation Sheet.			nce because.					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)								
	•							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As discussed in the previous Office action, the prior art anticipates the transdermal delivery system of independent claim 1. Also as explained in the previous Office action, the term "microemulsion" was not defined in the instant specification; the definition of said term is not settled in the art. As such, examiner has read the term in light of its broadest reasonable interpretation.

HUMERA N SHEIKH PRIMARY EXAMINER